After Final Office Action of April 19, 2007

REMARKS

Claims 3-20 are now pending in the application. Claims 1, 2, and 21 have been

cancelled. Claims 3 and 14 are currently amended. No new matter has been added, as

the amendments are supported by the specification, claims, and drawings as originally

filed. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the

rejection(s) in view of the amendments and remarks contained herein.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 2, and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated

by Leung et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0087653 A1). This rejection is respectfully

traversed. Applicant has cancelled claims 1, 2, and 21. In view of the foregoing,

Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 3-13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Leung (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0087653 A1) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in

view of Nakagawa et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,256,508 B1) and Leung (U.S. Pub. No.

2003/0078044 A1). Claims 14-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Leung 653 (and U.S. Pat. No. 5,101,501 incorporated by Leung 653)

as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Nakagawa. These rejections are

respectfully traversed.

11 JML/8k

After Final Office Action of April 19, 2007

In claim 3, real-time broadcast services are broadcasted to mobile terminals

through downlink special carrier frequencies. The downlink special carrier frequencies

are peculiar or particular to the real-time broadcast services, and not used for bearing

original services. That is, the downlink special carrier frequencies are specifically

reserved for the real-time broadcast services. Therefore, the original services and the

reserved for the real-time broadcast services. Therefore, the original services and

real-time broadcast services may not interfere with each other.

Leung 653 appears to disclose that when the HSBS broadcast service is

deployed, the number of frequency assignments may be involved in designing a system

(see Leung 653, [0048]). Leung 653 appears to disclose that "the channel structure is

consistent with the cdma2000 standard wherein the Forward Supplemental Channel (F-

SCH) supports data transmissions". Channels such as F-SCHs and F-FCHs are

formerly used for transmitting original services, such as speech communication, data

transmission, wireless internet, and video on command in a communication system, and

in Leung 653, channels such as F-SCHs and F-FCHs are used for transmitting

broadcast services, as well. According to the cdma2000 standard, channels such as F-

SCHs and F-FCHs are born on a same frequency. That is, original services and

broadcast services are born on the same frequency in Leung 653. Moreover, Leung

653 does not teach or suggest that the HSBS broadcast service occupies downlink

special carrier frequencies, which are not used by other kinds of services, such as

original services.

In claim 3, though the broadcast service hierarchy is divided into cells, all the

cells of the broadcast service hierarchy employ a same downlink special carrier

12 JML/8k

Application No. 10/502.502 Docket No.: 9896-000042/US/NP

Amendment dated July 19, 2007 After Final Office Action of April 19, 2007

frequency. Leung 653, on the other hand, at best discloses that a single HSBS channel

is provided on different frequencies to serve subscribers in those frequencies (see

Leung 653, [0050]).

In claim 3, the same content of the real-time broadcast services are transmitted

in each cell of the broadcast service hierarchy, and adjacent cells of the broadcast

service hierarchy employ different scrambling codes. Moreover, since the same content

of the real-time broadcast services are transmitted in each cell of the broadcast service

hierarchy, it is not needed for claim 3 to consider how to avoid RF interference in

different cells for the real-time broadcast services. Since different cells use different

scrambling codes in the broadcast service hierarchy, when a user terminal resides in

the broadcast service hierarchy, it can still know which cell it moves to according to the

scrambling code of the cell, and register its area information in the mobile

communication system. Then, the system can transfer page information of original

services to the user terminal in the broadcast service hierarchy. This decreases the

complexity of the user (i.e., there is no need to set two receivers in a user terminal).

Nakagawa appears to disclose that the same broadcasting program signals for the wide area broadcasting are transmitted based on the OFDM modulation method,

and different broadcasting program signals for each local area broadcasting are

transmitted based on the SS modulation method by using a different spreading code

(see Nakagawa, col. 6, lines 15-31). In Nakagawa, the spreading code is set to be 1

(PN=1) inside the upper dash frame (see Nakagawa, Fig. 7) for the wide area

broadcasting. That is, for wide area broadcasting program signals, there is no need to

13 JIMI 7kk

After Final Office Action of April 19, 2007

use scrambling code, and different broadcasting program signals are transmitted in

different cells by using different scrambling codes in Nakagawa. From Nakagawa, we

can not see that different cells use different scramble codes, even if they transmit same

broadcasting program.

In claim 3, since there are two hierarchies, i.e., the broadcast service hierarchy

and the original service hierarchy, using completely different frequencies, mobile

terminals need to switch between the hierarchies for receiving corresponding services.

Leung 653, on the other hand, discloses that the BS broadcasts the content without

encryption and interested mobiles can receive the content (see Leung 653, [0060]).

However, Leung 653 does not teach or suggest that "interested mobiles" switch

between the broadcast service hierarchy and the original service hierarchy. Leung 653

at best discloses performing soft handoff by mobile terminals. It is well known to those

skilled in the art that soft handoff refers to receiving multiple transmissions from multiple

base stations when the mobile terminal moves from one area to another area (see

Leung 653, [0043]). That is, soft handoff mentioned in Leung 653 does not teach or

suggest switching between hierarchies in claim 3.

Claim 3 discloses adding a broadcast service hierarchy into the radio access

network, in order to $\underline{\text{provide a real-time broadcast service in a mobile communication}}$

system. The broadcast service hierarchy has been assigned downlink special

broadcast resources for broadcasting real-time broadcast services, wherein the

downlink special broadcast resources downlink special carrier frequencies. The

broadcast service hierarchy is divided into cells, and the adjacent cells employ different

14 JML/6k

Application No. 10/502,502 Docket No.: 9896-000042/US/NP

Amendment dated July 19, 2007 After Final Office Action of April 19, 2007

scrambling codes. Since the original service hierarchy and the broadcast service

hierarchy employ different frequencies for transmitting different services, the mobile

terminals need to switch between these two hierarchies.

Even if Leung 653, Nakagawa and Leung 044 are combined, the broadcast

service hierarchy disclosed in claim 3 could not be obtained. The hierarchy includes

frequency allocation for original services and broadcast services, cell division for original

services and broadcast services, and frequency and scrambling code assignment for

cells of broadcast services, etc. This combination fails to teach or suggest a method for

providing real-time broadcast services in a mobile communication system with the help

of the broadcast service hierarchy could not be obtained, either.

In claim 14, downlink special scrambling codes are assigned for transmitting real-

time broadcast services. The claimed pilot channel of the cells in the original service

hierarchy is shared indicates that broadcast services and original services are born on

the same carrier frequency. That is, claim 14 addresses a scheme of using different

scrambling codes to distinguish the broadcast services from the original services in the

same carrier frequency.

Leung 653, on the other hand, at best discloses that the Broadcast channel

refers to a single forward link physical channel, i.e., a given Walsh Code that carries

broadcast traffic (see Leung 653, [0049]). That is, Leung 653 at best discloses that

 $\label{thm:codes} \mbox{different Walsh codes are used to distinguish broadcast services from original services}.$

It is well known to those skilled in the art that "scrambling code" and "Walsh code" are

totally different. In the downlink, Walsh code is a kind of channelization code for

15 JMURK

After Final Office Action of April 19, 2007

differentiating terminals on physical channels, while scrambling code is for

differentiating base stations. Therefore, Leung 653 does not teach or suggest claim 14.

Moreover, in Nakagawa, the "wide area broadcast" is distinguished from the

"local area broadcast" by different frequency bands (see Nakagawa, Fig. 4). Comparing

with "wide area broadcast" and "local area broadcast" in Nakagawa, broadcast services

and original services in claim 14 are distinguished by scrambling codes. That is,

Nakagawa does not teach or suggest using different scrambling codes to distinguish the

broadcast services from the original services in the same carrier frequency as claim 14

does.

In claim 14, the downlink special scrambling code is employed in every cell for

broadcast services. The downlink special scrambling code used in the broadcast

service hierarchy is particular for data transmissions of real-time broadcast services,

and not for original services.

Leung 653 at best discloses that the channel structure for the HSBS broadcast

service is consistent with the cdma2000 standard, wherein the Forward Supplemental

Channel (F-SCH) supports data transmissions (see Leung 653, [0053]). That is, in

Leung 653, broadcast channels in different cells use different scrambling codes, and a

broadcast channel uses a same scrambling code with other channels for transmitting

original services within a cell, while in claim 14, we use different scramble codes to

distinguish broadcast service with original services in one cell.

Even if Leung 653 and Nakagawa are taken into account in combination, the

broadcast service hierarchy disclosed in claim 14 could not be obtained. Here, the

16 JML/86

After Final Office Action of April 19, 2007

hierarchy includes scrambling code allocation for original services and broadcast

services, cell structure of original services and broadcast services, and scrambling code

assignment for cells of broadcast services. Therefore, a method for providing real-time

broadcast services in a mobile communication system with the help of the broadcast

service hierarchy could not be obtained.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 3-20 define

over the art cited by the Examiner. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of

the rejections.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending

application is in condition for allowance. It is believed that all of the stated grounds of

rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant

therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently

outstanding rejections. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is

respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will

expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the

undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

17 JML/86

Application No. 10/502,502 Docket No.: 9896-000042/US/NP

Amendment dated July 19, 2007 After Final Office Action of April 19, 2007

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 08-0750, under Order No. 9896-000042/US/NP from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: July 19, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

By /Joseph M. Lafata/ Joseph M. Lafata Registration No.: 37,166 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1223 Attorney for Applicant

18 JMU/kk